Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| All | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with replacing the term “logo” with “business identification” signs throughout all sections to recognize that a word legend can and often is used in lieu of a logo to identify the business on the Specific Service sign. |
| 2J.01 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2J.01 as presented in the NPA, but recommends one minor edit to delete a reference to pharmacies that should be removed since pharmacies will no longer be an eligible Specific Service category. |
| 2J.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recognizes that the NPA Item #285 language states “FHWA also proposes Standard, Guidance, and Support statements limiting the allowable number of business identification sign panels for each specific service to six and recommending that when there are more than six eligible facilities for one or more categories of service, General Service signs for those services should be used instead.” There does not appear to be proposed language which addresses this NPA Preamble language. In addition, the language of Section 2J.04 still allows up to 12 business identification sign panels for a particular service type. NCUTCD recommends that FHWA clarify the intent of this specific Preamble language and that the existing maximum number of 12 business identification sign panels per specific service type as listed in Section 2J.04 remain in place. NCUTCD generally agrees with 2J.02, but recommends other revisions as shown. |
| Figure 2J-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2J-1 as shown in the NPA. |
| Figure 2J-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2J-2 as shown in the NPA. |
| 2J.03 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2J.03 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising to incorporate NCUTCD recommendation 17B-GMI-01, in order to allow businesses who do not use a logo to use a color scheme on the business identification sign panels that more closely matches the business on-premise signing and thus support the proposed Guidance statement in the second paragraph. Inclusion of this language also allows recreational, cultural, and historical facilities eligible for Attractions business identification sign panels to use a brown background similar to what would be used for a traffic generator guide sign. |
| Figure 2J-3 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2J-3 as shown in the NPA. |
| Table 2J-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Table 2J-1 as shown in the NPA. |
| 2J.04 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2J.04 as presented in the NPA. |
| Table 2J-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Table 2J-2 as shown in the NPA. |
| 2J.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2J.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2J.06 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 2J.06 to eliminate added guidance language regarding spacing Specific Service ramp signs at least 200 ft. from destination guide signs. There is uncertainty of where this distance was derived from and the proposed language of “longer longitudinal spacing” from warning or regulatory signs is vague. Some ramp configurations may restrict the ramp length, thus making this proposed recommendation difficult to implement. |
| Figure 2J-6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | The NPA preamble references a new Figure 2J-6, but no such figure is referenced in the proposed language and no Figure 2J-6 is shown in the document of proposed figures for Part 2. |
| 2J.07 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2J.07 as presented in the NPA, but recommends reinserting the Option statement regarding the use of exit number plaques rather than the display of the exit number within the sign in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 14B-GMI-04. Several agencies currently utilize exit number plaques to reduce overall sign size and cost. |
| 2J.08 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2J.08 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2J.09 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 2J.09 and Figure 2J-4 to replace the use of General Service signs along the main roadway with Specific Service signs and to add a standard that Specific Service signs along the collector-distributor cannot be displayed unless also displayed along the main roadway. |
| Figure 2J-4 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising Figure 2J-4 to relocate the designation of Exit “B” to denote the exit location more accurately and to replace the General Service signs along the main roadway with Specific Service signs. |
| 2J.10 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 2J.10 to incorporate previously approved revisions of NCUTCD Item 12A-GMI-04. The use of up to six business identification sign panels is currently allowed on Specific Service panels for both mainline and ramp installations. If motorists are expected to adequately view and comprehend business identification sign panels in these applications, motorists should also be able to adequately view and comprehend this same number of business identification sign panels in trailblazer assembly installations as well. |
| Figure 2J-5 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2J-5 as shown in the NPA. |
| 2J.11 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2J.11 as presented in the NPA, but recommends minor edits to the proposed language for clarity. |
| 2J.12 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2J.12 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |